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Summary: Analysis of 100,000 PHEVs confirms high deviation from official fuel efficiency and CO₂ values.

Background and study

- Plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEVs) use electricity as well as conventional fuel for driving.
- They offer environmental benefits if they are mainly driven on electricity.
- The present study is the first large international and systematic study of real-world usage of PHEVs.

Findings

- PHEV fuel consumption & tail-pipe CO₂ emissions are two to four times higher than type-approval.
- Real-world share of electric driving of PHEVs is about half the share in type-approval values.
- PHEVs are not charged every day.
- PHEVs show high annual mileage and many long-distance trips.
- PHEVs electrify many kilometers per year.
- Decrease engine power and increase range to improve real-world fuel consumption & CO₂ emissions of PHEVs.

This new PHEV study

- Update of 2020 study with new data
- Focus on Europe and WLTP certified vehicles
- Collection of new primary data
- Publication freely available: [https://theicct.org/publication/real-world-phev-use-jun22/](https://theicct.org/publication/real-world-phev-use-jun22/)
Collection of PHEV real-world fuel consumption data

Data Collection:
- Collection of primary fuel consumption data from a large sample of PHEV in Europe
- No direct measurement of single vehicles but collection of data from a large number of vehicles from:
  - online fuel consumption diaries
  - company car fuel card data
  - online surveys
- Only results with new primary data are shown, no data from 2020 study used

Observed variables:
- long-term average fuel consumption in litres/100km
- annual mileage
- Make, model, variant and construction year
- Official test procedure fuel consumption \( FC_{combined} \) (NEDC or WLTP assigned from make, model, variant, and year)

Derived variables:
- Deviation from test values: \( FC_{real} / FC_{combined} \)
- Electric drive share (e-km / total-km) (EDS)
Data: New primary data from almost 9,000 individual PHEV from all of Europe covering 2013 – 2021.

- **PHEV from Germany**
  - online fuel log diary Spritmonitor.de (N = 2,666)
  - DLR survey for Germany (N = 1,531)
  - from 13 companies in Germany (N = 2,924)

- **Rest of Europe**
  - *Private*: non-German Spritmonitor users and other online fuel log sources (carbuyer.co.uk, honest-john, fiche-auto, MILE21)(N = 1,609; UK 370; FR 261; AT 226; 50 – 100 in NL, CH, FI, HU, IT, BE, DK)
  - *Company cars*: N = 119 in AT + 4 from survey

- **PHEV model years** 2012–2021, mainly 2017–2021

### PHEV in sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>Rest of Europe</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>4,199</td>
<td>1,609</td>
<td>5,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company car</td>
<td>2,924</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>3,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>7,123</td>
<td>1,732</td>
<td>8,855</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PHEV in sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Only NEDC</th>
<th>Only WLTP</th>
<th>NEDC &amp; WLTP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>2,536</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company car</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2,765</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6,059</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Real-world fuel consumption of private PHEV is growing but fuel consumption is even higher for company cars.

- Shown is the mean (± one standard error) fuel consumption of PHEV by vehicle build year
- The sample mean WLTP fuel consumption is shown as dashed line (NEDC mean is thin solid)
- Deviation between type approval and actual fuel consumption is increasing for private PHEVs and high for company cars
Real-world fuel consumption is ca. 3 times higher than WLTP for private & ca. 5 times higher for company cars.
Deviation to real-world is larger for WLTP than NEDC in all countries indicating robustness.

Weighted mean deviation for **private vehicles**
- 270–310 % from WLTP → about 3 times higher
- 240–260 % from NEDC for → ca. 2.5 times higher

Weighted mean deviation for **company cars**
- 455–520 % from WLTP → about 5 times higher
- 420–460 % from NEDC → almost 4.5 times higher

Deviation is 220–240 % from NEDC for only NEDC certified private vehicles → ca. 2 times higher
Deviation is 360–410 % from NEDC for only NEDC certified company car vehicles → almost 4 times higher
**Technical factors and user behavior impact real-world PHEV fuel consumption.**

Regression results on individual vehicle level confirm earlier results on range, power, mass and user group but with an additional increase over time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change in factor</th>
<th>Change in real fuel consumption</th>
<th>Change in electric driving share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+ 10 km WLTP range</td>
<td>−12% to −15%</td>
<td>+1 to +7 pp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 50 kW system power*</td>
<td>+3% to +8%</td>
<td>insignificant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 100 kg vehicle mass</td>
<td>+4% to +6%</td>
<td>Insignificant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 1 vehicle build year</td>
<td>+6% to +8%</td>
<td>Insignificant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User group: Company car</td>
<td>+45% to +55%</td>
<td>−39 to −25 pp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shown are regression results with (log of) fuel consumption and electric driving share (quasi-binomial logit regression) as dependent variable. We used sample size weighted regression with robust standard errors and the regression contains additional controls variables (country, mileage etc.). No noteworthy collinearity present. Ranges are from sample and model uncertainty. Results for electric driving shares are marginal effects.

* System power = engine power + electric motor power, engine power > electric motor power in almost all models, main effect from engine power.
Realistic WLTP UF are possible with modified parameters.

- The UF in WLTP has a special mathematical form that can be modified to be more realistic.
- We treat the WLTP constant $d_n = 800$ km as free parameter and fit it to the real-world data.

Real-world electric drive shares are higher for private vehicles than for company cars.

Assumptions needed for private & company car mixture to derive single parameter value.

Best estimate for mixture with $\frac{1}{2}$ private + $\frac{1}{2}$ company cars is $d_n = 4260 \pm 1100$ km.

The formula for the UF in WLTP is given by:

$$ UF(R_{CDC}, d_n) = 1 - \exp \left[ -\sum_{i=1}^{10} c_i \left( \frac{R_{CDC}}{d_n} \right)^i \right] $$

where $R_{CDC}$ is the WLTP charge depleting range in km and the numerical constants $c_i$ and $d_n$ for Europe are $d_n = 800$ km, $c_1 = 26.25$, $c_2 = -38.94$, $c_3 = -631.05$, $c_4 = 5964.83$, $c_5 = -25095$, $c_6 = 60380.2$, $c_7 = -87517$, $c_8 = 75513.8$, $c_9 = -35749$, $c_{10} = 7154.94$ according to (EC 2017).

Limited charging and long-distance driving are the main factors for the deviation from type-approval.

Typically, about 1/3 of annual driving (of 15,000 km) from long-distance driving yielding, for example, an electric driving share of 2/3 * 100% + 1/3*10% = 70% even when charging every day (10% = 40 km range / 400 km distance).
Conclusion: New plug-in hybrids deviate even more from test cycle fuel consumption than older vehicle models.

Discussion
- Sample small for most individual countries but general results similar in all countries
- Results consistent with findings from 2020 study but show increase in deviation to real-world
- Deviation has increased with build year but reasons unclear
  - potentially due to larger engines, larger vehicles and new buyers with less environmental concern or less charging or faster driving)
  - simultaneous changes in mass, power, range have been controlled for

Findings
- Results from 2020 ICCT & Fraunhofer ISI study largely confirmed
- PHEV fuel consumption and emissions three to five times higher than WLTP type approval
- Deviation higher for WLTP than for NEDC and higher for company cars than private cars
- Deviation has been increasing for private PHEVs by 0.1–0.2 L/100 km with every build year
- Real-world electric driving shares are 45% – 49% for private and 11% – 15% for company car instead of 70% – 85% in WLTP
Thank you for your attention!
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Policy recommendations
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1) Adjust WLTP Utility Factor

PHEV electric driving share assumption in WLTP type approval should be adjusted to empirical evidence.

Adjust $d_n$ parameter in WLTP Utility Factor formula: $d_n = 4260 \text{ km}$

The usage assumptions can be further refined based on fleet-wide data obtained from on-board fuel consumption monitoring (OBFCM) devices, but such data will not become available for several years.
1) Adjust WLTP Utility Factor

Four factors impact the difference between WLTP and real-world fuel consumption:

1) Lower electric range
2) Vehicles are charged less frequently
3) More long-distance driving
4) Higher fuel consumption when driving on fuel

Adjusting the Utility Factor only covers factors 1) to 3).

The remaining gap is similar to hybrid electric vehicles and slightly higher as for conventional gasoline or diesel cars.
2) Purchase subsidies and tax incentives

With 3-5 times higher CO₂ emissions, meeting the CO₂ emission standards with PHEVs increases real-world emissions at the fleet level.

- Purchase subsidies and fiscal incentives for PHEVs should either be abolished or limited to users that demonstrate < 2 L/100 km and/or > 80% electric driving share – close to WLTP values.

- An electric driving share of only 50% results in 2-3 times higher emissions than in WLTP.

Real-world CO₂ emissions of meeting the CO₂ emission standards with improving ICEVs, with BEVs, or with PHEVs (illustration).

Compared to improving the fuel efficiency of ICEVs and/or partially replacing them by BEVs, meeting the CO₂ emission standards with PHEVs increases real-world emissions at the fleet level.
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With 3-5 times higher CO₂ emissions, meeting the CO₂ emission standards with PHEVs increases real-world emissions at the fleet level.

Purchase subsidies and fiscal incentives for PHEVs should either be abolished or limited to users that demonstrate < 2 L/100 km and/or > 80% electric driving share – close to WLTP values.

An electric driving share of only 50% results in 2-3 times higher emissions than in WLTP.

Only vehicle models that allow users to realize a low fuel consumption and high electric driving share should be supported:

- Electric range of at least 90 km allows high electric driving share also a cold weather and high velocities

- Minimum ratio of electric motor versus combustion engine power of > 40%-50% to allow more purely electric driving.

- Fast charging capability
3) Incentivize charging over fossil fuel

**Incentivize charging:**

- **Home charging:** Reduce legal and financial barriers to installing home charging points
- **Workplace charging:** Limit company car incentives to companies that provide workplace charging or support employers in home or public charging
- **Public charging:** Non-discriminatory access to public charging stations

**Disincentivize fossil fuel usage:**

- Higher energy tax rates and/or CO₂ price on fossil fuels
- Abolishing or limiting free fuel cards for company cars
- Limiting tax-deductibility of spendings for fossil fuels
4) More transparency for consumers

Manufacturers should be obligated to disclose the charge-depleting and charge-sustaining mode fuel consumption.

Manufacturers should clearly display the realized electric driving share on the dashboard.
Thank you!
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Actual UF is smaller than test cycle in all countries

- Shown are mean UF by PHEV model from private vehicles
- Shown are countries with $N > 50$
- Legend:
  - Dashed line: NEDC
  - Dot-dashed: WLTP
  - Solid line: local average
- Actual UF is smaller than NEDC in all countries
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